### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

U.S. CENSUS OFFICE.

ROBERT P. PORTER,

Ξ

Superintendent. Appointed April 20, 1889; resigned July 31, 1893. CARROLL D. WRIGHT, Commissioner of Labor in charge. Appointed October 5, 1893.

 $\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{R} \, \mathbf{T}$ 

ON THE

# SOCIAL STATISTICS OF CITIES

IN

# THE UNITED STATES

AT THE

ELEVENTH CENSUS: 1890.

JOHN S. BILLINGS, M. D., surgeon united states army, expert special agent.



WASHINGTON, D. C.: GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE. 1895. Diagram 12 shows for principal cities the population per lamp in 1880 and in 1890.

Table 23 and Diagram 13 show for principal cities using electric lights only the population per lamp and the annual cost per capita.

CITIES.	Population per lamp.	Cost per capita.	CITIES.	Population per lamp.	Cost per capita.
Davenport St. Joseph Detroit Fort Wayne Los Angeles	$\begin{array}{c} 268.\ 72\\ 255.\ 24\\ 248.\ 94\\ 245.\ 78\\ 244.\ 64\\ \end{array}$		Topeka Galveston Williamsport. Harrisburg Toledo	$\begin{array}{c} 168.\ 52\\ 166.\ 19\\ 159.\ 60\\ 155.\ 06\\ 152.\ 21 \end{array}$	\$0.53 0.53 0.50 0.58 0.66
Seranton Little Rock Saginaw Bay city Norfolk	$\begin{array}{c} 233.\ 59\\ 233.\ 10\\ 220.\ 58\\ 206.\ 21\\ 202.\ 74 \end{array}$	0.39 0.21 0.58 0.29 0.44	Terre Haute Tacoma Utica Hartford Atlanta	$128.58 \\ 124.16 \\ 119.91 \\ 113.98 \\ 105.02$	0.54 1.16 1.07 0.80 0.65
Lacrosse Sacraueuto Dallas Dulutb Peoria	196. 02 195. 45 190. 34 182, 96 179, 93	0. 51 0. 92 0. 50 0. 68 0. 81	Chelsea Reading Portland Lawrence. Denver	93.03 85.14 82.97 62.45 60.98	0.58 0.56 0.84 0.49 0.95
Albany Quincy Youngstown Savannah Mobile	$\begin{array}{c} 178.\ 76\\ 174.\ 97\\ 174.\ 84\\ 172.\ 76\\ 171.\ 69\end{array}$	0, 81 0, 57 0, 40 0, 58 0, 53	Seattle Brockton Portland Lynn	57.12 51.99 51.54 49.06	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 47\\ 0.\ 40\\ 0.\ 66\\ 0.\ 69\end{array}$

Table 24 and Diagram 14 show for 15 cities using gas only the population per lamp and the annual cost for their use per capita.

TABLE 24.

CITIES.	Population per lamp.	Cost per capita.	CITIES.	Population per lamp.	Cost per capita.
Bradford Fort Wortb Appleton Ishpeming	$150. 20 \\ 127. 40 \\ 118. 69 \\ 98. 22$	\$0. 16 0. 28 0. 15 0. 31	Muncie Fort Smith East St. Louis Cairo	55, 89 55, 72 52, 13 51, 62	\$0. 23 0. 51 0. 43 0. 41
Wilmington (Del.) Jamestown Pensacola. Oil city	$\begin{array}{c} 76.22\\ 67.96\\ 59.05\\ 57.24\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.35 \end{array}$	Paducah. Rockford Saratoga Springs .	48, 84 45, 35 20, 97	0.46 0.51 1.53

#### WATERWORKS.

Table 66 shows for the cities that made returns the conditions of their waterworks as follows: ownership, capacity of the supply, number of reservoirs and their capacity, average daily consumption of water, the distribution system, total cost of works, with average annual cost of maintenance for the past 10 years and the average annual receipts from water rents, the total cost of the works per capita of the population, and the number of miles of water mains to each mile of sewer. Key West, Fla., is the only city that reported "no waterworks".

Of the 345 cities in the list, 288, with an aggregate population of 16,020,612, reported the character of the supply and the average daily consumption. The supply has been grouped under four heads: "Rivers and lakes", "Surface water", "Driven wells", and "Artesian wells". As the names imply, the first includes large bodies of water, the second all small streams, springs, etc., where the water is impounded in reservoirs, while the third and fourth are self explanatory. The source of water supply for each of the 288 cities was as follows:

#### RIVERS AND LAKES.

There were 146 cities having an aggregate population of 11,614,909, or near 73 per cent of the total population, which obtained their water supply from rivers and lakes. These were as follows: Alpena, Mich.; Alton, Ill.; Anderson, Ind.; Atchison, Kan.; Auburn, Me.; Anburn, N. Y.; Augusta, Ga.; Aurora, Ill.; Austin, Tex.; Baltimore, Md.; Bangor, Me.; Bay city, Mich.; Beatrice, Neb.; Beverly, Mass.; Biddeford, Me.; Boston, Mass.; Buffalo, N. Y.; Burlington, Iowa; Burlington, Vt.; Cairo, Ill.; Camden, N. J.; Chattanooga, Tenn.; Chelsca, Mass.; Chicago, Ill.; Chillicothe, Ohio; Cincinnati, Ohio; Cleveland, Ohio; Cohoes, N. Y.; Council Bluffs, Iowa; Covington, Ky.; Dallas, Tex.; Danville, Ill.; Danville, Va.; Davenport, Iowa; Decatur, Ill.; Deuver, Colo.; Detroit, Mich.; Duluth, Minn.; East St. Lonis, Ill.; Easton, Pa.; Eauclaire, Wis.; Elgin, Ill.; El Paso, Tex.; Erie, Pa.; Evansville, Ind.; Fall River, Mass.; Findlay, Ohio; Fort Scott, Kan.; Fort Smith, Ark.; Grand Rapids, Mich.; Hannibal, Mo.; Harrisburg, Pa.; Hoboken, N. J.; Indianapolis, Ind.; Ironton, Ohio; Ishpeming, Mich.; Jeffersonville, Ind.; Jersey city, N. J.; Kansas city, Kan.; Kansas city, Mo.; Keokuk, Iowa; Knoxville, Tenn.; Lacrosse, Wis.; Lafayette, Ind.; Laredo, Tex.; Lawrence, Mass.; Leavenworth, Kan.; Lewiston, Me.; Little Rock, Ark.; Loekport, N.Y.; Logansport, Ind.; Los Angeles, Cal.; Lonisville, Ky.; Lowell, Mass.; Lyuchburg, Va.; Manchester, N. H.; Marinette, Wis.; McKcesport, Pa.; Menominee, Mich.; Milwaukee, Wis.; Minneapolis, Minn.; Moline, Ill.; Muscatine, Iowa; Nashville, Tenu.; Nebraska city, Neb.; Newark, N. J.; New Haven, Conn.; New Orleans, La.; Newport, Ky.; New York, N. Y.; Norristown, Pa.; Ogdensburg, N. Y.; Oil city, Pa.; Omaha, Neb.; Oshkosh, Wis.; Oswego, N. Y.; Paducah, Ky.; Passaic, N. J.; Paterson, N. J.; Philadelphia, Pa.; Pittsburg, Pa.; Port Hurou, Mich.; Portland, Me.; Portland, Orc.; Ponghkeepsie, N. Y.; Providence, R. I.; Pueblo, Colo.; Quincy, Ill.; Racine, Wis.; Raleigh, N. C.; Richmond, Va.; Rochester, N. Y.; Rock Island, Ill.; Sacramento, Cal.; Saginaw, Mich.; San Diego, Cal.: Sandusky, Ohio; Schenectady, N. Y.; Seattle, Wash.; Shroveport, La.; Shehoygan, Wis.; Sioux Falls, S. D.; Spokane Falls, Wash.; Springfield, Ill.; St. Louis, Mo.; St. Joseph, Mo.; St. Paul, Minn.; Steubenville,

#### WATERWORKS.

Ohio; Snperior, Wis.; Terre Hante, Iud.; Tiffin, Ohio; Toledo, Ohio; Trenton, N.J.; Troy, N.Y.; Vicksburg, Miss.; Washington, D.C.; Watertown, N.Y.; West Bay city, Mich.; West Troy, N.Y.; Wheeling, W. Va.; Wichita, Kan.; Wilmington, Del.; Wilmington, N.C.; Winona, Minn.; Youngstown, Ohio, and Zanesville, Ohio.

#### SURFACE WATER.

There were 96 cities having an aggregate population of 3,409,063, or abont 21 per cent of the total populatiou, which obtained their water supply from surface waters. These were as follows: Akron, Ohio; Albany, N. Y.; Allentown, Pa.; Altoona, Pa.; Amsterdam, N. Y.; Asheville, N. C.; Atlanta, Ga.; Battle Creek, Mich.; Belleville, Ill.; Bradford, Pa.; Bridgeport, Conn.; Bridgeton, N. J.; Brockton, Mass.; Brookline, Mass.; Brooklyn, N. Y.; Butte, Mont.; Cambridge, Mass.; Canton, Ohio; Cheyenne, Wyo.; Chicopee, Mass.; Clinton, Mass.; Colorado Springs, Colo.; Colnmbus, Ga.; Concord, N. H.; Dover, N. H.; East Portland, Ore.; Elmira, N.Y.; Fitchburg, Mass.; Gloversville, N.Y.; Hagerstown, Md.; Hartford, Conn.; Holyoke, Mass.; Hornellsville, N.Y.; Ithaca, N.Y.; Jacksouville, Ill.; Kingston, N.Y.; Leadville, Colo.; Lexington, Ky.; Lima, Ohio; Lyun, Mass.; Mahanoy, Pa.; Malden, Mass.; Marlboro, Mass.; Medford, Mass.; Meridian, Miss.; Michigan "ity, Ind.; Middletown, N. Y.; Mobile, Ala.; Muskegon, Mich.; Nantieoke, Pa.; Nashua, N. H.; New Bedford, Mass.; New Brunswick, N. J.; Newburg, N. Y.; Newburyport, Mass.; New London, Conu.; Newton, Mass.; Northampton, Mass.; Norfolk, Va.; Norwich, Conn.; Oakland, Cal.; Ogden, Utah; Pawtucket, R. I.; Peabody, Mass.; Salt Lake, Utah; San Antonio, Tex.; San Francisco, Cal.; San Jose, Cal.; Saratoga Springs, N. Y.; Sedalia, Mo.; Shenandoah, Pa.; Springfield, Mass.; Springfield, Mo.; Stillwater, Minn.; Syracnse, N. Y.; Tacoma, Wash.; Tanton, Mass.; Utica, N. Y.; Waltham, Mass.; Waterbnry, Conn.; Weymoutt, Mass.; Woonsocket, R. I.; Worcester, Mass.; Norkers, N. Y., and York, Pa.

#### DRIVEN WELLS.

There were 19 cities having an aggregate population of 466,115, or near 3 per cent of the total population, which obtained their water snpply from driven wells. These were as follows: Biughamtou, N. Y.; Bloomington, Ill.; Dayton, Ohio; Denison, Tex.; Elkhart, Ind.; Fort Wayne, Ind.; Fort Worth, Tex.; Hamilton, Ohio; Hastings, Neb.; Jackson, Tenn.; Joliet, Ill.; Lincoln, Neb.; Long Island city, N. Y.; Manistee, Mich.; Muncie, Ind.; Newark, Ohio; Plainfield, N. J.; Sionx city, Iowa, and Topeka, Kau.

#### ARTESIAN WELLS.

There were 27 cities having an aggregate population of 530,525, or near 3 per cent of the total population, which obtained their water supply from artesian wells. These were as follows: Alameda, Cal.; Appleton, Wis.; Cedar Rapids, Iowa; Charleston, S. C.; Clintou, Iowa; Fond du Lac, Wis.; Freeport, Ill.; Fresno, Cal.; Galveston, Tex.; Honston, Tex.; Jackson, Mich.; Jacksonville, Fla.; Jamestown, N. Y.; Janesville, Wis.; Kalamazoo, Mich.; Madison, Wis.; Mansfield, Ohio; Massillon, Ohio; Memphis, Tenu.; Montgomery, Ala.; Natchez, Miss.; Pensacola, Fla.; Rockford, Ill.; Savannah, Ga.; South Bend, Ind.; Stockton Cal., and Waco, Tex.

Table 25 shows for these cities the distribution of the population in relation to the source of supply; also the average daily consumption of water, both by the total and by geographical divisions.

	Number		AVERAGE CONSUMPTION	DAILY OF WATER.
GEOGRAPHICAL DIVISIONS.	of cities.	Population.	Total. (In 1,000 gallons.)	Per capita. (Gallons.)
Augregate	288	16, 020, 612	1, 443, 564	90.11
Rivers and lakes	146	11, 614, 909	1, 112, 080	95.75
North Atlantic	43	5, 219, 735	. 513, 686	98.41
Sonth Atlantic	10	910, 806	105, 181	115.48
North Central	69	4,408,120	389, 969	88.47
South Central	16	742, 893	53, 850	72.49
Western	8	333, 355	49, 394	148 17
Surface waters	96	3, 409, 063	250, 429	73.46
North Atlantic	62	2, 409, 641	175, 105	72.67
South Atlantic	7	174,008	8.050	46.26
North Central	12	208,528	11, 124	53.35
South Central.	4	100, 940	5, 250	52.01
Western	11	515,946	50,900	98.65
Artesian wells	27	530, 525	50,830	95.81
North Atlantic	1	16,038	1,500	93, 53
South Atlantic	4	127,095	9,000	70.81
North Central	13	197,602	14, 810	74.95
South Central	6	153, 383	22, 300	145.39
Western	3	36, 407	3, 220	88.44
Driven wells.	19	466, 115	30, 225	64.84
North Atlantic	3	76, 778	5, 098	66.40
South Atlantic (a)			· [	
North Central	13	345, 264	20, 127	58.29
South Central	3	44,073	5,000	113.45
Western $(a)$	•••••	••••••	•	

TABLE 25.

The greater portion of the population included in these cities depended on rivers and lakes and surface water, about 94 per cent receiving their water from these sources. There were 146 cities on rivers and lakes, and of these 43 were in the North Atlantic and 69 in the North Central division. There were 96 cities which depended on surface water, and of these 62 were in the North Atlantic and 12 in the North Central division. Of the 19 cities which depended on driven wells, 13 were in the North Central division, while for the artesian wells, 13 of the 27 cities were in the same division.

The number of gallons of water daily supplied for each person was, for the 288 cities in round numbers, 90.11; 95.75 gallons daily to each one of the population is used in the supply taken from rivers and lakes, 73.46 gallons in the surface water supply, 64.84 gallons in that from driven wells, and 95.81 in that from artesian wells. By geographical divisions, the total average consumption per capita is, in the North Atlantic, 88.91 gallons, ranging from 2 gallons in Chicopee, 14 in Woonsocket, and 23 in Ithaea and Marlboro each to 251 in Saratoga Springs, 199 in Nanticoke, and 196 in Buffalo. In the South Atlantic division the average daily number of gallons to each person is 101.56, ranging from 18 in Charleston and 19 in Portsmonth to 177 in Washington and 152 in Lynchburg. In the North Central division the average daily consumption to each head of population is 85.40 gallons, ranging from 6 gallons in Findlay and 19 in Anderson to 198 in East St. Louis and 171 in Detroit. The South Central division ranges from 19 in Vicksburg and 31 in New Orleans to 519 in Waco and 179 in Memphis, the average being 80.22 gallons daily to each head of population. The Western division has the highest average daily consumption, it being 120.44 gallous per capita, with a range from 9 gallons in East Portland to 288 in Oakland. The largest per capita consumption from the river and lake supply, 148.17 gallons, was in the Western division and the smallest, 72.49 gallons, in the South Central division. From the surface water supply, the largest per capita consumption, 98.65 gallons, was in the Western division and the smallest, 46.26 gallons, in the South Atlantic. Driven wells and artesian wells furnished the largest per capita supply for the South Central division, reaching 113.45 and 145.39 gallons, respectively. The number of gallons daily to each head of population must be based on the total population of each city irrespective of the number living on the lines of the water mains. In Washington the population was enumerated by blocks, and therefore the population living along the lines of the water mains could be determined, and a calculation on this basis develops the fact that the average daily consumption per capita for the population living on the lines of the water mains was 196 gallons instead of 177 gallons. In Saratoga Springs the average daily consumption was given at 251 gallons, but it must be borue in mind that during the summer months, when the consumption of water is at its highest, the population of the city is, probably, increased fourfold. The larger consumption of water in some of the southwestern cities is largely due to irrigation, but it has not been possible to determine what portion was used for this purpose.

Diagram 15, for the largest cities of the country, shows the proportion of water used daily to each head of population.

As an indication of the lavish use of water in nearly all of the cities of this country, Diagram 16 is given, showing the average number of gallons used daily for each head of population in the German cities that have over 100,000 inhabitants each.

There were 266 cities, with an aggregate population of 15,416,689, which reported the total cost of their waterworks and the number of miles of mains. The average cost per capita of the population for construction in these cities was \$22.27, the consumption of water per capita was \$9.93 gallons, and the cost of the works to each mile of main was \$21,440.

Table 26 shows the distribution of these figures by population groups and by geographical divisions.

	Num-		AVERAGI CONSUM	E DAILY PTION.		COST	OF WORKS.	
POPULATION GROUPS AND GEOGRAPHICAL DIVISIONS.	ber of cities.	Population.	Total. (In 1,000 gallons.)	Per capita. (Gallons.)	Mains, (Miles.)	Total.	Per capita.	To each mile of main.
Total	266	15, 416, 689	1. 386, 356	89.93	16,015	\$343, 363, 560	\$22. 27	\$21, 440
10,000 to 14.999	98	1, 186, 629	91, 103	76.77	1,977	23, 154, 532	19.51	11,712
15,000 to 24,099	64	1.269,603	112, 106	88.30	1,900	26, 081, 290	20.54	13, 684
25,000 to 49,999	53	1, 823. 431	175, 130	96.04	2,368	43, 537, 199	23.88	18, 386
50,000 to 99,939	24	1,571,767	130, 348	82, 93	1,776	32, 400, 772	20,61	18, 244
100,000 and over	27	9, 565, 259	877, 669	91.76	7, 988	218, 189, 773	22.81	27, 315
Total	206	15, 416, 689	1, 386, 356	89.93	16,015	343, 363, 566	22. 27	21, 440
North Atlantic	104	7, 559, 105	672.069	88.91	7, 751	188, 449, 689	24.93	24, 313
Sonth Atlantic	18	1, 144, 402	116, 231	101.56	1,069	21, 326, 090	18.64	19, 950
North Central	96	4, 942, 863	422, 142	85.40	4,709	80, 556, 508	16.30	17, 107
South Central	27	927, 448	74, 400	80.22	908	18, 429, 724	19.87	20 297
Western	21	842, 871	101, 514	120, 44	1, 578	34, 601, 495	41.05	21,927

TABLE 26.

#### WATERWORKS.

The character of the works that distribute the water from the source of supply to the consumers has been divided into 6 groups, comprising: "Gravity", where the whole supply is sent to every part of the city by the natural pressure, "Gravity with pumping to high service", "Pumping direct", "Pumping to reservoirs", "Pumping to standpipes", and "Pumping to both standpipes and reservoirs, combined". In many cities where the last three conditions prevail water can be pumped directly into the mains in cases of emergency or when so desired, the reservoirs being in some cases secondary.

Diagram 17 shows the proportion of the population of 293 cities distributed to each class of waterworks.

From this it will be seen that all the gravity works, either alone or with pumping, did not supply as large a population as those that pumped to reservoir.

#### GRAVITY.

There are 36 cities, with 5.41 per cent of the total population treated, that had their entire water supply distributed by gravity. Of these, 28 are in the North Atlantic, 1 in the South Central, 1 in the North Central, and 6 in the Western division.

Of these cities, 16 had a population of between 10,000 and 15,000, 8 between 15,000 and 25,000, 9 between 25,000 and 50,000, and 3 had between 50,000 and 100,000 inhabitants. The 36 cities are as follows: Altoona, Pa.; Amsterdam, N. Y.; Bradford, Pa.; Brockton, Mass.; Clinton, Mass.; Colorado Springs, Colo.; Concord, N. H.; Fitchburg, Mass.; Gloversville, N. Y.; Hartford, Conn.; Holyoke, Mass.; Hornellsville, N. Y.; Ishpeming, Mich.; Ithaca, N. Y.; Jackson, Tenn.; Kingston, N. Y.; Leadville, Colo.; Los Angeles, Cal., Mahanoy, Pa.; Medford, Mass.; Meriden, Conn.; New Bedford, Mass.; New London, Conn.; Northampton, Mass.; Norwich, Conn.; Oakland, Cal.; Ogden, Utah; Passaic, N. J.; Pittsfield, Mass.; Pittston, Pa.; Portland, Me.; Salt Lake, Utah; Shenandoah, Pa.; Springfield, Mass.; Utica, N. Y., and Worcester, Mass.

#### GRAVITY WITH PUMPING TO HIGU SERVICE.

The cities that depended on gravity for the main portion of their supply, pumping only for the high service, numbered 22, but the population was 22.54 per cent of the total discussed. Of these, 12 are in the North Atlantic, 3 in the South Atlantic, 3 in the North Central, and 4 in the Western division.

#### PUMPING WORKS.

Pumping works were largely in excess throughout the country, the population dependent upon them being 72.05 per cent of the total under consideration. There are 235 cities represented, with an average population of 49,600 each, and located in geographical divisions as follows: North Atlantic, 67; North Central, 105; South Central, 30; South Atlantic, 21, and Western, 12. Taking the 4 classes of pumping works: "Pumping direct to main", "Pumping to reservoir", "Pumping to standpipe", and "Pumping to standpipe and reservoir", the following conditions prevail:

#### DIRECT PUMPING.

There are 68 cities, with 10.47 per cent of the population, that have an average number of 24,840 inhabitants where pumping directly into the mains was used, and 45 of these cities are located in the North Central, 9 in the North Atlantic, 7 in the South Central, 4 in the South Atlantic, and 3 in the Western division. Minneapolis and Indianapolis, having a population of over 100,000 each, used this class of works.

#### PUMPING TO RESERVOIR.

There are 89 cities, with an average population each of 56,245, that pump their water to reservoirs, the supply passing from there to the mains. These cities, representing 31.03 per cent of the population discussed, are distributed geographically as follows: 35 in the North Atlantic, 25 in the North Central, 12 in the South Central, 11 in the South Atlantic, and 6 in the Western division. Of the larger cities, Brooklyn, Buffalo, Cincinnati, Denver, Kansas city (Mo.), Newark (N. J.), Omaha, Providence, and St. Louis are in this class.

#### PUMPING TO STANDPIPE.

There were 44 cities, with 13.50 per cent of the population, averaging 49,532 each, for which the water was pumped to standpipe, and, as was the case in pumping direct, a majority of the works was located in the North Central division, where 25 cities used this class of works; of the remainder, 9 are in the North Atlantic, 6 in the South Central, and 4 in the South Atlantic division. Of the larger cities, Chicago and Detroit were supplied by this class of works, as were also Charleston, Fall River, Lincoln, and Toledo.

#### PUMPING TO STANDPIPE AND RESERVOIR.

There were 34 cities, with 17.05 per cent of the population discussed, that used the standpipe and reservoir in connection with their pumping works, and of these 14 are in the North Atlantic, 10 in the North Central, 5 in the South Central, 3 in the Western, and 2 in the South Atlantic division. The average population of each city was 80,915; the cities of Cleveland, Jersey city, Louisville, Milwaukee, New Orleans, and Philadelphia are included.

Many of the cities reported the character of their works but failed to give the cost of the same, and these can not be used in showing the relative cost of each class of works.

Table 27 shows for 269 cities, with an aggregate population of 15,460,938, the total cost of works, daily consumption, capacity of reservoirs, the distribution of population on mains, cost of works per capita of the population and to each mile of maiu, and the daily consumption to each person for each class of works.

			, ,						
	Num-	POPULA	TION.	COST	OF WORKS	<b>5.</b>	AVERAGE D CONSUMPT	Capacity of	
CHARACTER OF WORKS.	ber of cities.	TotaI.	To each mile of main.	Total.	Per capita.	Per mile of main.	Total. (In 1,000,009 gallons.)	Per capita. (Gal- lons.)	reservoirs. (In 1,000,000 gallone.)
Total	269	15, 460, 938	954.97	\$354, 635, 373	\$22.94	\$21, 905	1, 411. 5	91	33, 430. 7
Gravity	36	873, 233	521.33	26, 607, 690	30.47	15, 885	81.7	94	14, 142. 0
Gravity with pumping	19	3, 496, 262	1, 118.09	114, 489, 299	32.75	3 <b>6</b> , 613	306.7	88	7, 469, 0
Pumping direct	62	1.586,044	941.27	24, 200, 204	15.26	14, 362	139.0	88	
Pumping to reservoirs	78	4,668,042	940.95	104, 723, 250	22.43	21, 109	436.0	93	4, 988. 2
Pumping to standpipes	41	2, 126, 393	1, 069. 08	29, 826, 740	14.02	14, 996	193.5	91	
Pumping to standpipes and reservoirs	33	2,710,964	9 <b>8</b> 4. 73	54, 788, 190	20. 21	19, 901	254.6	94	6, 831. 5
								·	

From this it will be seen that the cost of works to each head of population and each mile of main is the largest in those cities having gravity works with pumping to high service. In the pumping works the cost is higher in those cities where reservoirs are used, the least expensive to build appearing to be the pumping direct and the pumping to standpipe. It will also be noted in the consumption daily to each head of population that gravity with pumping and pumping direct to mains used the same proportionate consumption, while gravity alone and pumping to reservoir and standpipe were the same and the highest.

Table 66 shows the ownership of the waterworks, whether by the municipalities or by private parties, for the individual cities. Of the 292 cities reporting, 168, having a population of 12,650,460, owned and operated their own waterworks, while 124, with a total population of 3,473,020, depended on private parties for their water supply. Of the population, 78.46 per cent was in the cities owning the works.

The average population of each of the cities owning the waterworks was 75,300. The waterworks owned by private parties existed mostly in the smaller cities, as the 124 cities thus supplied had an average population of but 28,008 each. Of the cities having a population of 100,000 and upward, San Francisco, New Orleans, Omaha, Kansas city (Mo.), Denver, and Indianapolis have their waterworks operated by private parties.

Though the ownership of the works was reported for 292 cities, but 215, with an aggregate population of 13,422,223, made complete returns on all points desired for Table 28, which gives for these cities the cost of works, average annual cost of maintenance, average annual receipts from water rents, cost of works to each mile of main and to each tap, and the population to each mile for the city, and the private ownership, by population groups and geographical divisions.

TABLE 27.

#### TABLE 28.

OWNED BY THE CITIES.

POPULATION (POUDS AND	Num-	POPULA	TION.	COST	OF WORK	s.	<i>T</i>	Maina	AVERAGE (FOR 10	ANNUAL YEARS)	
GEOGRAPHICAL DIVISIONS.	ber of eities.	Total.	To each mile of maiu.	Total.	Per capita.	To each mile of main.	(Number.)	(Miles.)	Cost of maintenance,	Receipts from water rents.	
Total	149	11, 599, 966	1, 040. 73	\$244, 328, 379	\$21.06	\$21, 921	1, 293, 456	11, 146	\$5, 883, 619	\$16, 818, 287	
10,000 to 14,999	40	494, 142	537.11	9, 198, 653	18, 62	9, 999	54,017	920	269, 890	564, 895	
15,000 to 24,999	38	759, 608	689.65	15, 484, 242	20, 38	13, 875	73, 040	1, 116	452, 533	868, 292	
25,000 to 49,999	36	1,259,343	846.90	24, 462, 742	19.43	16, 451	134, 773	1,487	562, 655	1,469,127	
50,000 to 99,999	15	982,406	976.55	19, 419, 969	19.77	19, 304	105, 119	1,006	429, 400	1,230,477	
100,000 and over	20	8, 104, 467	1, 224, 79	175, 762, 773	21.69	26,562	926, 507	6,617	4, 169, 141	12, 685, 496	
Total	149	11, 599, 966	1,040.73	244, 328, 379	'21. 06	21, 921	1, 293, 456	11, 146	5, 883, 619	16, 818, 287	
North Atlantic	76	6,747,065	1,023.46	167, 797, 509	24, 87	25, 451	797, 675	6, 593	4, 060, 340	11,526,743	
South Atlantic	10	560, 835	1, 045.57	9, 612, 090	16.87	17,637	65, 930	545	169, 125	484, 202	
North Central	51	3,826,525	1,089.87	56, 856, 820	14.86	16, 194	389, 993	3, 511	1,267,652	4, 280, 065	
South Central	6	300,608	992.11	7,658,170	25.48	25, 274	22, 156	303	304, 595	363, 460	
Western	6	155, 333	800.69	2, 403, 790	15.48	12, 391	17,702	194	81, 907	163, 817	

#### PRIVATE OWNERSHIP.

Total	66	1, 822, 257	716.99	48, 142, 161	26.42	18, 784	139, 961	2, 563	1, 403, 973	4. 653, 384
10,000 to 14,999	36	428, 822	627.85	8, 290, 337	19.33	12, 138	33, 892	683	307, 836	516, 056
15,000 to 24,999	10	188,756	734.46	3, 736, 614	19.80	14,539	12.206	257	102, 978	224,848
25,000 to 49,999	14	482,051	621.20	17, 663, 210	36.64	22, 762	40, 155	776	318, 858	765.273
50,000 to 99,999	2	100,708	330.19	3, 325, 000	33.02	10,902	15, 950	305	128, 442	209, 372
100,000 and over	1	621,920	1, 147.45	15, 127, 000	24.32	27,910	37, 758	542	545,859	2,937,835
Total	66	1, 822, 257	710.99	48, 142, 161	26.42	18,784	139, 961	2, 563	1, 403, 973	4,653,384
North Atlantic	15	316, 351	578.34	9, 471, 220	29.94	17,315	31, 508	547	138, 831	467, 444
South Atlantic	6	85, 173	925.79	1, 114, 000	13.08	12,109	3, 682	92	61,008	83, 016
North Central	27	699, 462	909.57	16, 882, 748	24.14	21,954	39, 632	769	722, 196	2, 916. 903 🖕
South Central	13	493, 784	1, 132.53	8,412 000	17.04	19, 294	31, 729	436	284, 496	554.649
Western	5	227, 487	316.39	12, 262, 193	53 <b>, 9</b> 0	17,055	33, 410	719	197,442	631, 372

It will be seen from the above table that the cost of works to each head of population was lower in the total for the city ownership, while the cost to each mile of main was lower for the private corporations. In the North Central division the cost of the city works to each head of population was largely below the cost of private works, as was also the case in the Western. This is probably due to the fact that the returns from private corporations generally gave the total capital stock instead of the actual cost of the works.

In connection with the above, Tables 29 and 30 give in detail the receipts and expenditures connected with the water supply in their relations to population, mains, and taps for 49 of the largest eities in this country, and for 18 German eities, so far as such details can be obtained from their reports. In the eities of the United States the cost of maintenance and the annual receipts from water rents are the average annual for the past 10 years, and as the ratios per capita and to the mains and taps can not therefore be accurately ascertained, they are omitted from the tables.

## SOCIAL STATISTICS OF CITIES.

	POPULA	TION.	Number	COST	OF WATER	WORKS.	Average annual cost	Average anunal	Annual charge
CITIES.	To each mile of main.	To each tap.	of meters to each 100 taps.	Per capita.	To each mile of main.	To each tap.	of main- tenance. (For 10 years.)	receipts frem water rents. (For 10 years.)	for water for an average dwelling.
New York Chicago Philadelphia Brooklyn St. Lonis.	2, 295, 91 1, 622, 20 1, 125, 77 1, 938, 32 1, 344, 55	15. 95 7. 33 6. 13 9. 38 12. 52	20, 53 2, 18 0, 18 2, 64 8, 00	\$26. 40 12. 48 17. 67 19. 20 18. 04	\$60, 606 20, 243 19, 892 37, 224 24, 258	\$421.05 91.50 108.24 180.14 225.89	\$530,000 80,000 545,667 430,084 241,783		\$6.00 14.00 $\vartheta.00$ 8.00 .14.00
Boston. Baltimore San Francisco Cincinnati Cleveland.	$710.74 \\1,067.42 \\874.26 \\1,095.60 \\868.28$	5.80 ( $\alpha$ ) 8.03 7.94 8.51	4. 99 31. 65 3. 80 5. 62	$\begin{array}{c} 49.\ 34\\ 23.\ 25\\ 50.\ 17\\ 11.\ 79\\ 21.\ 75\end{array}$	35,070 24,816 43,860 12,915 18,885	286. 14 (a) 402. 75 93. 59 185. 07	$\begin{array}{c} 401,700\\ 100,000\\ (a)\\ 229,100\\ 83,946\end{array}$	1, 504. 790 600, 000 530, 931 316, 975	$\begin{array}{c} 12.\ 00\\ 7.\ 00\\ 20.\ 00\\ 12.\ 50\\ 11.\ 25\end{array}$
Buffalo New Orleans Pittsburg Washington Detroit	$\begin{array}{c} 909.84\\ 3,227.19\\ 1,242.80\\ 944.08\\ 573.47\end{array}$	7.0923.4713.457.525.46	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 33\\ 0.\ 29\\ 0.\ 24\\ 0.\ 26\\ 2.\ 02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.\ 47\\ 10.\ 00\\ 14.\ 67\\ 17.\ 61\\ 21.\ 39 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,352\\ 32,267\\ 18,229\\ 16,628\\ 12,269\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 166.\ 38\\ 234.\ 70\\ 197.\ 25\\ 132.\ 46\\ 116.\ 75 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 90,000\\ 44,365\\ 92,392\\ 26,556\\ 70,610 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 402,978\\ 132,854\\ 406,476\\ 133,704\\ 298,477\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.\ 00\\ 25.\ 00\\ 16.\ 00\\ 4.\ 50\\ 10.\ 00 \end{array}$
Milwaukee Newark (N. J.) Minneapolis Jersey city Louisville	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 161, 75\\ 1, 033, 13\\ 1, 160, 13\\ 1, 630, 03\\ 1, 067, 08 \end{array}$	$12.04\\8.44\\19.44\\9.27\\13.01$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.\ 14\\ 2.\ 58\\ 4.\ 39\\ 1.\ 39\\ 6.\ 82 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15, 34 \\ 17, 12 \\ 13, 78 \\ 32, 36 \\ 26, 33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17,823\\ 17,692\\ 15,986\\ 52,753\\ 28,100 \end{array}$	$184.\ 68\\144.\ 61\\267.\ 91\\299.\ 94\\342.\ 66$	$\begin{array}{c} 89, 694 \\ 87, 369 \\ 45, 000 \\ b500, 000 \\ 195, 303 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207,724\\ 264,470\\ 88,529\\ 466,000\\ 236,400\end{array}$	11.00 13.75 9.00 12.85 14.60
Omaha Rochester St. Paul Kansas city (Mo) Providence	$\begin{array}{c} 989,10\\ 622,77\\ 802,14\\ 1,061,73\\ 597,95 \end{array}$	$23. \ 41 \\ 5. \ 78 \\ 14. \ 71 \\ 12. \ 70 \\ 8. \ 57 \\$	16, 67 8, 90 3, 91 17, 35 58, 00	$\begin{array}{c} 42.\ 72\\ 31.\ 01\\ 21.\ 47\\ 22.\ 60\\ 45.\ 85\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42,254\\ 19,312\\ 17,219\\ 24,000\\ 27,418 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,000,00\\ 179,39\\ 315,78\\ 287,16\\ 392,85 \end{array}$	$50,000\\51,845\\20,000\\401,494\\b358,092$	$\begin{array}{c} 150,000\\ 106,967\\ 100,000\\ 2,354,981\\ 306,395 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.\ 00\\ 7.\ 00\\ 8.\ 60\\ 16.\ 75\\ 16.\ 00 \end{array}$
Denver Albany Syracıbe. Worcester Toledo.	$\begin{array}{c} 533.57\\999.10\\2,098.64\\742.59\\1,163.34\end{array}$	$9.70 \\ 6.27 \\ 29.38 \\ 0.41 \\ 23.27$	0, 77 0, 35 17, 33 88, 03 10, 71	$\begin{array}{c} 34.74 \\ (\alpha) \\ 9.08 \\ 22.36 \\ 15.35 \end{array}$	18,535 (a) 19,048 16,601 17,857	337.00 (a) 266.67 210.28 357.14	50, 000 93, 873 (a) 50, 000 20, 060	300, 000 178, 098 124, 000 48, 600	$\begin{array}{c} 17.\ 00\\ 14.\ 00\\ 19.\ 00\\ 15.\ 00\\ 11.\ 00\end{array}$
Richmond (Va.) New Haven Paterson. Fall River Cambridge.	1, 114, 90 640, 14 1, 119, 24 1, 180, 92 660, 64	7.68 ( $\alpha$ ) 11.80 15.50 6.89	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.08 \\ (a) \\ 0.05 \\ 72.92 \\ 2.27 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 24.57\\ 21.43\\ 40.18\\ 25.02\\ 36.60 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27,397\\ 13,717\\ 44,971\\ 29,547\\ 24,182 \end{array}$	188.68 (a) 474.17 387.80 252.34	$\begin{array}{c} 30,000\\ 170,279\\(a)\\ 25,754\\ 31,843\end{array}$	95, 000 186, 721 70, 560 182, 708	$\begin{array}{c} 11.50\\ 12.00\\ 14.00\\ 15.00\\ 17.00 \end{array}$
Atlanta. Memphis Wilmington (Del.). Dayton : Troy.	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 820.\ 36\\ 838.\ 55\\ 830.\ 15\\ 1,\ 155.\ 00\\ 1,\ 088.\ 50\end{array}$	$21, 84 \\ 0, 49 \\ 5, 26 \\ 24, 14 \\ 10, 76$	$\begin{array}{c} 88.33 \\ 6.60 \\ 0.15 \\ 4.02 \\ 3.94 \end{array}$	$10.\ 63\\29.\ 81\\16.\ 28\\16.\ 40\\19.\ 63$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,357\\ 25,000\\ 13,514\\ 12,113\\ 21,362 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 232.\ 28\\ 283.\ 02\\ 85.\ 68\\ 253.\ 15\\ 211.\ 09 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20,000\\ 100,000\\ 34,000\\ 28,150\\ 48,400 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30,000\\ 175,000\\ 90,577\\ 46,509\\ 63,130 \end{array}$	(c) 17.00 10.00 10.0.) 10.00
Grand Rapids Reading Camden Trenton Lynu	$\begin{array}{c} 972.\ 23\\ 1,066.\ 56\\ 1,023.\ 04\\ 820.\ 83\\ 640.\ 54 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 19, 22 \\ 4, 19 \\ 5, 32 \\ (a) \\ 6, 48 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 12.56 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.14 \\ (a) \\ 3.76 \end{array} $	$13.\ 62 \\ 21.\ 31 \\ 11.\ 15 \\ 10.\ 14 \\ 29.\ 78$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,246\\22,727\\11,404\\8,320\\10,072 \end{array}$	$261. 87 \\ 89. 29 \\ 59. 31 \\ (a) \\ 192. 81$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,084\\ 40,000\\ 16,000\\ 12,000\\ 20,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41,839 \\ 90,000 \\ 86,434 \\ 57,978 \\ 110,400 \end{array}$	15.00 16.00 11.00 10.00 11.00
Lincoln Hartford. Evansville. Los Angeles.	$2, 757, 70 \\665, 38 \\1, 180, 37 \\205, 69$	36.77 8,90 (a) 4.73	3. 60 5. 77 ( <i>a</i> ) 3. 00	3, 52 32, 75 9, 85 36, 21	9,700 21,790 11,628 7,449	129. 33291. 30(a)171. 36	$\begin{array}{c} 10.\ 614\\ 32,\ 555\\ 26,\ 000\\ 28,\ 442 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14,421 \\ 136,699 \\ 35,000 \\ 34,372 \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       11, 50 \\       9. 00 \\       15. 00 \\       24. 60     \end{array} $

#### TABLE 29.

a No data.

b Includes interest on debt.

.

c Meter measurement only.

.

.

TABLE	30.
TABLE	30

	•			RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES FOR YEAR 1891.												
CITIES.	POPULA	F10N.	Miles of main.		Receipt	Ex	penditures.									
	Total, 1890.	To each mile of main.		Total.	Above ex- penditures.	Per capi- ta of pop- ulation.	To each mile of main.	Total.	Per capi- ta of pop- ulation.	To each mile of main.						
Total	5, 329, 196	2, 579	2, 066	\$4, 565, 384	\$3, 224. 977	\$0.86	\$2, 210	\$1.340,407	\$0.25	\$649						
Berlin	1, 578, 794	3,613	437	1, 488, 975	1, 138, 069	0.94	3, 407	350, 900	0.22	803						
Hamburg	569, 260	2, 124	268	560, 809	332, 155	0.99	2,093	228, 654	0.40	853						
Leipsic	357, 122	3,160	113	142, 530	87, 924	0.40	1,261	54,606	0.15	+83						
Munich	349,024	2, 585	135	190, 913	92, 448	0.55	1,414	98, 465	0.28	729						
Breslau	335, 186	3, 162	106	314,030	261,605	0.94	2,963	52, 425	0,16	495						
Cologne	281,681	3, 095	91	245, 379	183, 915	0.87 2,696		61, 464	0.22	675						
Dresden	276, 522	2,609	106	233, 514	186, 695	0.84	2, 203	46, 819	0.17	442						
Magdeburg	202, 234	2,466	82	132, 730	90, 639	90, 639 0, 66		42, 091	0.21	513						
Frankfort on the Maiu.	179, 985	1,353	133	372, 811	271,704	2.07	2, 803	101, 107	0. 56	760						
Hanover	163, 593	2,045	80	102, 751	27, 290	0.63	1,284	75, 461	0.46	943						
Königsberg	161, 666	3, 299	49	105, 280	84, 502	0.65	2, 149	20, 778	0.13	424						
Dusseldorf	144, 642	1,854	78	101,956	79, 968	0.70	1,307	21, 988	0.15	282						
Altona	143, 249	1,685	85	156, 985	111, 447	1.10	1,847	45, 538	0, 32	530						
Stuttgart	139, 817	1,554	90	127,570	88,092	0.91	1,417	39, 478	0.28	439						
Strasburg	123,500	2,628	47	53, 827	40, 128	0.44	1, 145	13,699	0.11	291						
Barmen	116, 144	1, 873	62	91, 118	47, 472	0.78	1, 470	43, 646	0, 38	704						
Crefeld	105,376	2, 151	49	57, 173	34,634	0.54	1, 167	22,539	0, 21	460						
Halle	101, 401	1, 844	55	87, 033	66, 290	0, 86	1, 582	20, 743	0.20	377						

From Table 29 it will be seen that the average cost to each head of population for the American cities was \$22.47, the range per capita being from \$50.17 in San Francisco to \$3.52 in Lincolu. The annual charge for water for an average dwelling ranged from \$4.50 in Washington, \$6.00 in New York, and \$7.00 each in Baltimore and Rochester to \$20.00 in San Francisco, \$24.60 in Los Angeles, \$25.00 in New Orleans, \$30.00 each in Fresno and Stockton, \$31.00 in Dallas, and \$48.00 in East Portland, Ore. The average dwelling in this case means a house of 7 rooms, with hot and cold water in the kitchen sink, a bath tub with hot and cold water, and a water closet.

There were 258 cities, with an aggregate population of 15,413,618, which reported as to the annual charge for water for an average dwelling. For 11 of these cities, with 15.84 per cent of the population, the charge was below \$8.00 per dwelling; for 30 cities, with 17.94 per cent of the population, it was from \$8.00 to \$10.00; in 45 cities, with 12.68 per cent of the population, it was from \$10.00 to \$12.00; in 55 cities, with 17.29 per cent of the population, it was from \$12.00 to \$14.00; in 36 cities, with 17.19 per cent of the population, it was from \$14.00 to \$16.00; in 36 cities, with 17.19 per cent of the population, it was from \$14.00 to \$16.00; in 36 cities, with 17.19 per cent of the population, it was from \$14.00 to \$16.00; in 36 cities, with \$16.00 to \$18.00; in 20 cities, with \$1.3 per cent of the population, it was from \$16.00 to \$18.00; in 20 cities, with \$1.3 per cent of the population, it was from \$18.00 to \$20.00; in 18 cities, with 5.32 per cent of the population, it was from \$20.00 to \$20.00; in 7 cities, with 2.47 per cent of the population, it was \$25.00 and over per dwelling.

#### SEWERS.

Table 67 shows the total length of sewers, divided between pipe and brick or stone, with the diameters of each class, and the largest and smallest diameter, the number of outlets, the several parts of the system, the total cost of sewers, the cost per capita, and the average annual cost of maintenance, repairs, and cleaning for the 10 years ending December 31, 1889, with the cost of cleaning separately shown.

Of the 345 cities, 281 made returns on this subject. Of these, 57 cities, with an aggregate population of 1,107,262, reported "no sewers", and are as follows:

#### NORTH ATLANTIC DIVISION.

POPULATION 10,000 TO 14,999.—Bridgeton, N. J.; Clinton, Mass.; Columbia, Pa.: Dover, N. H.; Easton, Pa.; Hornellsville, N. Y.; Ithaca, N. Y.; Medford, Mass.; Nanticoke, Pa.; Peabody, Mass.; Plainfield, N. J.; Weymouth, Mass., and Woburn, Mass.

POPULATION 15,000 TO 24,999.—Gloucester, Mass.; Jamestown, N. Y.; Malden, Mass.; Merideu, Conn.; Newton, Mass.; North Adams, Mass.; Orange, N. J.; Quincy, Mass.; Shenandoah, Pa.; Waltham, Mass., and Woonsocket, R. I.

POPULATION 25,000 TO 49,999.-Brockton and Taunton, Mass.

#### SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION.

POPULATION 10,000 TO 14,999.—Alexandria and Portsmouth, Va.

POPULATION 15,000 TO 24,999.-Columbia, S. C.; Key West, Fla., and Wilmington, N. C.

DIAGRAM 15 .- AMOUNT OF WATER USED IN THE LARGER CITIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POPULATION.

CITIES.	GALL	ONS	DAIL	) EA	СН	HE.	AD (		JLA g	т 10 9	N .	0	0	30	00	00
BUFFALO MEMPHIS WASHINGTON DETROIT ALBANY LOS ANGELES PITTSBURG DENVER PATERSON EVANSVILLE RICHMOND NASHVILLE TROY CAMDEN JERSEY CITY NEW HAVEN CINCINNATI PHILADELPHIA MILWAUKEE WILMINGTON DEL. CLEVELAND HARTFORD BALTIMORE CHICAGO BOSTON READING ROCHESTER MINNEAPOLIS NEWARK ST. JOSEPH KANSAS CITY MO LOUISVILLE NEW YORK OMAHA ST. LOUIS SYRACUSE SAN'FRANCISCO LOWELL BROOKLYN TOLEDO CAMBRIDGE ST. PAUL INDIANAPOLIS WORCESTER TRENTON GRAND RAPIDS LINCOLN LYNN PROVIDENCE DAYTON ATLANTA NEW ORLEANS FALL RIVER CHARLESTON																50

DIAGRAM 16 .- CONSUMPTION OF WATER IN GERMAN CITIES.



Eleventh Census of the United States.

Social Statistics of Cities.

DIAGRAM 17.-DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION ACCORDING TO CHARACTER OF WATERWORKS.

Character of works.	Per cent of population.	293 CITIES. Total population 16,134,747
Pumping to reservoirs	31,03	
Gravity with pumping	22.54	
Pumping to stand pipes) and reservoirs	17.05	
Pumping to stand pipes	13.50	
Direct pumping.	10.47	
Gravity,	5.41	